

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,455	12/31/2003	Arden L. Kunkel	25163	9837
28624	7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY			GOODMAN, CHARLES	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27 P.O. BOX 9777		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/749,455	KUNKEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles Goodman	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AMashman4/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/749,455

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krippelz (US 5,410,934).

Krippelz discloses a bandsaw with guide heads comprising all the elements claimed including, inter alia, a frame (e.g. 22); a worktable (e.g. 36); a bandsaw blade (e.g. 28a, b); a first blade tensioning device (38); and rotational bearing (e.g. 80, 104). See whole patent especially Figs. 11-13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were

Application/Control Number: 10/749,455

Art Unit: 3724

made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 7-14 and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krippelz (US 5,410,934) in view of Yoneda et al (US 6,439,094).

Krippelz discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the set/unset pattern of the cutting edge. Note that Krippelz already includes teeth set at both sides - Fig. 13. However, Yoneda et al teaches various teeth arrangement having sections of both set and unset teeth, the set teeth being on either side of the blade for the purpose of providing better cutting characteristics to the saw or bandsaw blade. See whole patent. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the invention of Krippelz with the set/unset pattern of the cutting edge as claimed as taught by Yoneda et al in order to facilitate more efficient cutting of materials.

Regarding claim 10 and similar, it appears that the modified invention of Krippelz includes the pitch between different teeth and the distance between the tips as claimed. However, if it is argued that Krippelz lacks these features, then it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the modified invention of Krippelz with the pitch and tip to tip distance range as claimed in order to facilitate the best distances to affect the cut for a given material, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Application/Control Number: 10/749,455 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Substantially the same applies to claim 18 and similar.

Conclusion

- 6. Biro, Helshoj, Morgan, Hsu, Kuklinski, Eklund, Best, Alexander and Balke are cited as pertinent art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman

Art Unit: 3724

cg // June 27, 2005

Primary Examiner AU 3724

CHARLES GOOD? PRIMARY EXAMS